

Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in the redside dace, *Clinostomus elongatus*

Trevor E. Pitcher · Courtney L. Beneteau ·

Ryan P. Walter · Chris C. Wilson ·

Nicholas E. Mandrak · Daniel D. Heath

Received: 19 August 2009 / Accepted: 20 August 2009 / Published online: 3 September 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

Abstract We isolated and characterized eight polymorphic microsatellite loci for redside dace (*Clinostomus elongatus*), a colorful North American cyprinid that is threatened or endangered throughout most of its range. The number of alleles per locus ranged from three to eighteen, with observed heterozygosity ranging from 0.31–0.92. Cross-amplification revealed that these markers will also be useful for examining closely related and more distantly related species, including the rosside dace (*Clinostomus funduloides*), Lahontan redside shiner (*Richardsonius egregius*), hornyhead chub (*Nocomis biguttatus*), and central stoneroller (*Campostoma anomalum*). These microsatellite loci will provide a valuable set of tools for examining fine and coarse scale population structure, exploring reproductive success, and testing outcomes of proposed conservation initiatives (e.g. captive breeding and translocation of wild individuals) for redside dace.

Keywords Primers · Population genetics · Paternity · Conservation · Mating system

Redside dace (*Clinostomus elongatus*) is broadly distributed in the Laurentian Great Lakes basin, and historically occurred in streams of all five Great Lakes, the upper Mississippi drainages, the upper Susquehanna River, and the Ohio River (Page and Burr 1991; COSEWIC 2007). Redside dace are visual surface feeders with a diet primarily consisting of terrestrial insects (Daniels and Wisniewski 1994; Scott and Crossman 1998). This specialized feeding ecology requires redside dace to live in clear, cool flowing water with overhanging vegetation (Novinger and Coon 2000), making it particularly sensitive to environmental perturbations. Due to habitat alteration, likely arising from deforestation, agriculture, urban development and pollution (Trautman 1981; Lyons et al. 2000; COSEWIC 2007), redside dace is threatened or endangered throughout most of their range. For example, in Canada, redside dace was initially listed as Vulnerable by COSEWIC (Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada) in 1987 (Parker et al. 1988) but is now listed as Endangered (COSEWIC 2007).

There is no published research investigating genetic population differentiation in redside dace, although allozyme analyses of several populations have been initiated (Redside dace Recovery Strategy 2005). As most populations are small and isolated, genetic diversity may prove important in the conservation of this species throughout its range. We developed and characterized eight microsatellite loci for redside dace, which can be used to determine genetic diversity and population structure among the remaining populations of this imperiled species.

T. E. Pitcher (✉) · D. D. Heath

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Windsor,
401 Sunset Ave, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4, Canada
e-mail: tpitcher@uwindsor.ca

C. L. Beneteau · R. P. Walter · D. D. Heath

Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research, University
of Windsor, 401 Sunset Ave, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4, Canada

C. C. Wilson

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough,
ON K9J 7B8, Canada

C. C. Wilson

Natural Resources DNA Profiling and Forensics Centre, Trent
University, Peterborough, ON K9J 7B8, Canada

N. E. Mandrak

Great Lakes Laboratory for Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,
Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Burlington, ON L7R 4A6, Canada

Genomic DNA for library construction was extracted from fin clips stored in 95% ethanol using a phenol–chloroform method with ethanol precipitation. Extracted DNA was enriched for microsatellites according to a protocol adapted from Hamilton et al. (1999). Genomic DNA was simultaneously digested with *Rsa*I and the resulting blunt-ended fragments were ligated to SNX adapter-primer complexes consisting of a 22-mer (5' CTAAGGCCTT GCTAGCAGAAC 3') and a phosphorylated 26-mer (5' P-GCTTCTGCTAGCAAGGCCTTAGAAAAA 3'). The resulting segments were hybridized with pooled biotinylated oligo (GACA₄) and (CA₇) probes, and captured with streptavidin-coated beads (Roche, Indianapolis, USA). Enriched fragments were cloned into TOPO vectors and then used to transform One Shot® competent *Escherichia coli* cells (Invitrogen, Burlington, Canada).

One hundred and ninety-two recombinant clone inserts were amplified using M13 forward and reverse primers and sequenced using an ABI 3100xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). Primers were designed for 16 clones that contained >8 uninterrupted di- or tetra-nucleotide repeats using PRIMER 3 (Rozen and Skaletsky 2000) and NetPrimer (Premier Biosoft International) software.

Preliminary screening for amplification and polymorphism was performed in 25 µL PCR reactions with an Eppendorf epgradient S Mastercycler (Brinkmann Instruments, Inc.). Each reaction included approximately 50 ng template DNA, 32 µM dye-labelled forward primer, 0.5 µM reverse primer, 200 µM of each dNTP, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5 U

Taq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) in a 1× PCR buffer. Primers were tested on 64 *C. elongatus* individuals from one putative population, Rathburn Run (Wayne County, Ohio, USA; N 40° 48.658' W 082° 01.400'), using the following thermocycling protocol: 2 min at 95°C; 30 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 15 s at 55°C, 30 s at 72°C, followed by a 2 min extension at 72°C and a 4°C hold. Optimal annealing temperatures and suitability of loci were determined using a LiCor 4300 DNA Analyzer and the software Gene ImagIR 4.05 (Scanlytics, Inc.) to score the genotypes. All loci were examined for genotyping errors, allelic dropout and null alleles using MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al. 2004). Tests for genotypic disequilibrium were performed using GENEPOLP 4.0.7 (with the following Markov-chain parameters: 10,000 dememorizations, 100 batched, 5,000 iterations per batch; Bonferroni corrected for multiple tests) Rousset (2007) and for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using ARLEQUIN 3.0 (Schneider et al. 2000).

Eight polymorphic loci were found (GenBank accession numbers GQ150754–GQ150761). The number of alleles ranged from 3 to 18 per locus (mean +/– s.e.: 8.4 +/– 2.1) and the observed and expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.31 to 0.92 (mean +/– s.e.: 0.59 +/– 0.08) and 0.31–0.90 (mean +/– s.e.: 0.65 +/– 0.08), respectively (Table 1). Three of the eight loci, RSD42A, RSD2-91 and RSD142, were determined to be out of HWE (see Table 1). None of the loci appear to be in linkage disequilibrium. The PCR products from cross-species amplification tests using the

Table 1 Primer sequences and characteristics of eight redside dace (*Clinostomus elongatus*) microsatellite loci

Locus	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Repeat motif	N _A	Size range (bp)	T _a (°C)	GenBank accession number	H _O	H _E
RSD42A	F: AACTGCAGACAGGGATCTGG R: TATCTGTGCCTGCTGGTGAG	(TC) ₁₄ (AC) ₇	5	188–196	60	GQ150754	0.33	0.37*
RSD53	F: TTGCTGCAGGACAGTGTGTTT R: TGTGTCAGGTTTGCTCACTTC	(AC) ₁₃ (AT) ₄	8	179–193	54	GQ150755	0.75	0.77
RSD2-58	F: TGAAATCAAATGGTCAGTCCTT R: TCGCCTAAACGTCATCAGAG	(CA) ₁₃ (TA) ₆	5	196–208	57	GQ150756	0.31	0.31
RSD70	F: TGCAGTGGTTGCAATCTAAG R: CCGACGACCCCTTAAGAAT	(GT) ₁₄	3	242–252	53	GQ150757	0.59	0.56
RSD86	F: CACAAAACGGATGAATTG R: GCGAACTGCAGCACTTACAG	(TG) ₂₀	6	209–223	53	GQ150758	0.44	0.64
RSD2-91	F: ACAGCCACTATACTGAAATCAA R: CGCAAATAAAGGTGACTTGAC	(TCTA) ₂₁	18	233–309	60	GQ150759	0.92	0.90*
RSD142	F: CACCCTGCTGTTCTGTTCA R: ATTGCTTCCCTGTGAATCG	(TATC) ₂₀	17	200–264	55	GQ150760	0.76	0.90*
RSD179	F: GCTAGTCAAACGGTCTCTTCC R: GGCTGCCAGCAAATTAGAA	(AT) ₂ GTCT(GT) ₁₆	5	210–213	55	GQ150761	0.66	0.72

For each microsatellite developed, forward (F) and reverse (R) primer sequences, repeat motif, number of alleles (N_A), size range in base pairs (bp), annealing temperature (T_a), GenBank accession number, observed heterozygosity (H_O), and expected heterozygosity (H_E; * denotes significant HWE departures (P < 0.05, corrected for multiple tests))

Table 2 Cross-amplification of microsatellite loci in rosystide dace (*Clinostomus funduloides*), hornyhead chub (*Nocomis biguttatus*), Lahontan redside shiner (*Richardsonius egregius*) and central

Locus	<i>Clinostomus funduloides</i>	<i>Nocomis biguttatus</i>	<i>Richardsonius egregius</i>	<i>Campostoma anomalum</i>
RSD42A	—	—	—	—
RSD53	+	+	—	+
RSD2-58	—	+	+	—
RSD70	+	—	+	—
RSD86	+	+	+	+
RSD2-91	+	+	+	+
RSD142	+	—	+	—
RSD179	+	—	+	+

Cross-species amplification tests; (+) successful amplification and (—) no amplification

same cycling conditions produced fragments of expected sizes for most loci, for all four of the related species examined (Table 2).

These newly characterized loci can be used to provide much needed genetic information for the imperiled redside dace. First, these markers will allow for the assessment of genetic variation and gene flow in natural populations to determine how much habitat degradation is affecting their population viability. Second, these markers will be useful for helping decide whether or not to initiate proposed conservation actions, such as captive breeding and translocation of wild individuals. Third, these loci will allow for a detailed assessment of natural and sexual selection in the redside, by allowing for the assignment of paternity in order to assess patterns of reproductive success among sexes and individuals in this promiscuous, non-resource based mating system (see Koster 1939, Pitcher et al. 2009). Finally, the present work will also contribute to molecular ecology studies of other minnow species by providing a set of new microsatellite primers successfully tested for species related to the redside dace and threatened in parts of their range, rosystide dace (*Clinostomus funduloides*) and Lahontan redside shiner (*Richardsonius egregius*), and two other species, hornyhead chub (*Nocomis biguttatus*) and central stoneroller (*Campostoma anomalum*).

Acknowledgements This research was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (in the form of Discovery Grants and Research Tools and Instrumentation Grants), the Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation and the Species at Risk Program of Fisheries and Oceans Canada. We are grateful to Juan Galarza for technical assistance in the lab, Erling Holm, Brian Zimmerman and Dave Neely for sample collection and the Brown Family Environmental Center at Kenyon College for logistical support.

References

- COSEWIC (2007) COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the redside dace *Clinostomus elongatus* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa, vii + 59 pp. www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm
- Daniels RA, Wisniewski SJ (1994) Feeding ecology of redside dace, *Clinostomus elongatus*. Ecol Freshw Fish 3:176–183
- Hamilton MB, Pincus EL, Di Fiore A, Fleischer RC (1999) Universal linker and ligation procedures for construction of genomic DNA libraries enriched for microsatellites. BioTechniques 27:500–507
- Koster WJ (1939) Some phases of the life history and relationships of the Cyprinid, *Clinostomus elongatus* (Kirtland). Copeia 4:201–208
- Lyons J, Cochran PA, Fago D (2000) Wisconsin fishes 2000: status and distribution. Wisconsin Sea Grant Institute, Madison
- Novinger DC, Coon TG (2000) Behavior and physiology of the redside dace, *Clinostomus elongatus*, a threatened species in Michigan. Environ Biol Fish 57:315–326
- Page LM, Burr BM (1991) Petersons field guide to freshwater fishes of North America north of Mexico. Houghton Mifflin Company, New York
- Parker BJ, McKee P, Campbell RR (1988) Status of the redside dace, *Clinostomus elongatus*, in Canada. Can Field Nat 102:163–169
- Pitcher TE, Beausoleil JJ, Abbott JA, Vandereeren J (2009) Sperm design and function in the redside dace (*Clinostomus elongatus*). J Fish Biol. (in press)
- Redside Dace Recovery Strategy (2005) Recovery strategy for redside dace in Ontario. Draft Recovery Strategy, 23 pp
- Rousset F (2007) GENEPOLP '07: a complete reimplementation of the GENEPOLP software for Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Notes 8:103–106
- Rozen S, Skaletsky HJ (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: Krawetz S, Misener S (eds) Bioinformatics methods and protocols: methods in molecular biology. Humana Press, New Jersey, pp 365–386
- Schneider S, Roessli D, Excoffier L (2000) Arlequin: a software for population genetics data analysis. Ver 3.0. Genetics and biometry lab, Department of Anthropology, University of Geneva. Available at <http://lgb.unige.ch/arlequin/>
- Scott WB, Crossman EJ (1998) Freshwater fishes of Canada. Galt House Publications Ltd, Oakville
- Trautman MB (1981) The fishes of Ohio. Ohio State University Press, Ohio
- van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) Micro-checker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol Ecol Notes 4:535–538